



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/317,434 | 05/24/1999 | SUSUMU KOBAYASHI | 500.37238X00 | 9103 |

20457 7590 01/29/2002

ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS
SUITE 1800
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET
ARLINGTON, VA 22209

EXAMINER

LE, DEBBIE M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2177

DATE MAILED: 01/29/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.

09/317,434

Applicant(s)

KAMEGI ET AL.

Examiner

DEBBIE M LE

Art Unit

2177

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 14 January 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

- a) ☒ The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
- (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 - (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
 - (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____

3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments are not persuasive (see Attachment).
6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: None.Claim(s) objected to: None.Claim(s) rejected: 1-8.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.
10. ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Attachment to Advisory Action

This office action is in response to the amendment after final filed on 1/14/02, paper # 7. Applicant's arguments filed 1/14/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because of the following reasons:

Nakano et al (US Patent 5,983,213) discloses a database processing system comprising a first process of enabling a database server operating at a server to store data, which is stored in a database requested by a program operating at a client, and to respond to said request by transmitting an identifying information (handle) of said stored data to said program (abstract, fig. 3b, fig. 4, col. 4-5, lines 38-33, col. 9, lines 27-67, col. 10, lines 1-17); and a second process of enabling said program to refer to said common storage area based on said identifying information of said stored data, to obtain said stored data (fig. 9b, fig. 10, col. 12-13, lines 14-45).

Nakano teaches the data is shared among the servers in the network of plurality of storage devices (col. 10, lines 27-29). Nakano does not explicitly teach a common storage area. "Official Notice" is taken that the use of common storage area in a database processing system disclosed by Nakano is well known and expected in the art (see Hongjun Lu et al, Dynamic and Load-balanced Task-Oriented Database Query Processing in Parallel Systems, pages 357-359). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a common storage area disclosed by Nakano, because the use of common storage area is known in the art to enable the users of different hosts to access to the same data and/or the

Art Unit: 2177

use of common storage area is a choice of implementation to one of ordinary skilled in the art whether he wants to store data in a plurality of storage areas as disclosed by Nakano or just wants to store data in a only one place "a common storage".

Claims 6 and 8 are rejected by the same rationale as state in independent claim 1 argument.

Claims 2-5, 7 are rejected at least by virtue of their dependency on independent claims and by other reasons set forth in the previous office action (see Paper No. 5). Accordingly, rejections for claims 1-8 are respectfully maintained.

Conclusion

If a reference indicated as being mailed on PTO-FORM 892 has not been enclosed in this action, please contact Lisa Craney whose phone number is (703) 305-9601 for faster service.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DEBBIE M LE whose telephone number is 703-308-6049. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOHN BREENE can be reached on 703-305-9790. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/317,434

Page 4


Art Unit: 2177

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-7960.



DEBBIE M LE
Examiner
Art Unit 2177

Debbie Le
January 25, 2002



JOHN BREENE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100